Latin and Hebrew Analogues to the Old Norse Leek Riddle
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It has been thought that of the forty or so surviving Old Norse riddles, only two have close parallels in the wider international riddle tradition. This note shows, however, that the riddle on the leek in the probably thirteenth-century *Heiðreks saga* has a close parallel in one of the late antique or early medieval Bern Riddles, on garlic. Moreover, the larger conceptual structure of the leek riddle, which positions the leek as an inverted person situated between the earth and the sun, is paralleled by one of the riddles of the tenth-century Hebrew poet Dunash ben Labraṭ ha-Levi, which figures the sun and its light as a tree with its roots in the sky and its branches in the ground. The riddles of *Heiðreks saga* are more integrated into wider riddle culture than has been realised, and comparison of Dunash’s work with the Old Norse and Latin material helps to settle debate about the solution to Dunash’s riddle.
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This note brings together three medieval verse riddles, in Old Norse, Latin, and Hebrew, to reveal hitherto unappreciated similarities between the riddle literature of these languages. Our Old Norse riddles have been thought to show few overlaps with wider riddle traditions, but I show that the riddle on the leek in *Heiðreks saga* uses the same conceit as one of the riddles on garlic in the Latin Bern Riddles. In both riddles, the allium is figured as a person with their head in the earth and their feet in the air; and both harness this image to religious or mythic ideas about death. Meanwhile, one of the riddles of the tenth-century Hebrew poet Dunash ben Labraṭ ha-Levi uses similar imagery. This indicates that the conceptual structure seen in the Latin and Norse had a wider circulation, and the comparisons between the three riddles help us to resolve debate about the solution to Dunash’s composition, supporting the idea that his riddle is on the sun.

All but four of our surviving Old Norse riddles are preserved in the various manuscripts of the probably thirteenth-century *Heiðreks saga*, which features a riddle contest in which Óðinn, chief of the traditional Old Norse gods, disguised as a man called Gestumblindi, poses riddles in verse to the saga’s eponymous anti-hero, King Heiðrekr. The riddles total thirty-seven in Burrows’s recent critical edition.¹ In his edition and translation of the saga, Christopher Tolkien asserted that

---
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¹ *Hervarar saga ok Heiðreks*, ed. Burrows.
Gestumblindi’s riddles are unique, in more senses than one. They are unique in that there are no others in ancient Norse; and even more surprisingly, there is no record in the poetry or in the sagas of a riddle ever having been asked. They are unique also in that there are no parallels to them in the riddle-literature of any other country, apart from the ancient ‘Cow-riddle’ (verse 70), which is known all over Europe, and the very curious riddle of the ‘Sow with the Unborn Litter’ (verse 69).

Tolkien’s implication that there are no other Old Norse riddles was wrong: three are known outside Heiðreks saga, with their earliest manuscript being AM 625 4°, from c. 1300 – no younger than Hauksbók, the first witness to Heiðreks saga. Philip Lavender has recently called attention, moreover, to the fact that a riddle is posed in another Old Icelandic text, Pjalar-Jóns saga. But although Hannah Burrows and others have recently done valuable work demonstrating the degree to which the Heiðreks saga riddles are embedded in Old Norse poetic and mythological tradition, Tolkien’s claim that all but two of the riddles are unparalleled has stood unchallenged.

Shamira A. Meghani and I have recently argued that, contrary to Tolkien’s claim, one of the Heiðreks saga riddles, on angelica, has parallels in the Latin, Greek, Hebrew, and Arabic riddling of the early Middle Ages. The present note shows that the other riddle about a plant in the collection also has a close medieval Latin parallel and more distant Hebrew ones.

Hvat er þat undra, er ek úti sá
fyrr Dellingr durum?
Hófði sinu visar á helvega,
en fóum til sólar snýr.
Heiðrekr konungur, hyggðu at gátu.

Goð er gáta þín, Gestumblindi, getit er þessar; þat er laukr; hofuð hans er fast í jörðu,
en hann kvíslar, er hann vex upp.

What is that marvel which I saw outside, in front of the doors of Dellingr?
With its head it indicates the roads to Hel, but with its feet it turns to the sun.
Consider the riddle, King Heiðrekr.

Your riddle is good, Gestumblindi; it has been guessed. That is a leek/allium: its head is firmly in the earth, but it forks as it grows upwards.

---

2 Saga of King Heidrek the Wise, ed. and trans. Tolkien, xix.
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The best translation of *laukr* is somewhat uncertain: its English cognate is *leek* and this reflects the prototypical meaning of *laukr*, but the semantic range of *laukr* extended across the allium family. Either way, it is unsurprising that one of our two Old Norse riddles on plants should be about an allium: while domesticated alliums seem to have been introduced into the Germanic-speaking world from the Mediterranean in the Iron Age, they were so well embedded into early medieval Germanic (and Insular Celtic) culture as to constitute the prototypical vegetable. Indeed, of the ninety-five or so Old English riddles of the Exeter Book, only two are likely to have plants as their solutions, and both are on alliums (probably the onion).

I offer two analogues to the *laukr* riddle. The first and closer is from the Latin Bern Riddles, probably composed in the Mediterranean region (and perhaps specifically northern Italy) around the seventh century. In the critical edition of Glorie, it runs:

De alio.
Multiplici ueste natus de matre productor
Nec habere corpus possum, si uestem amitto.
Meos, unde nasco, in uentre fero parentes;
Viuo nam sepultus, uitam et inde resumo.
Superis eductus nec umquam crescere possum,
Dum natura caput facit succedere plantis.

On garlic.
I am brought forth, born from my mother, with many-layered clothing;
I cannot have a body if I lose my clothing.
I carry my parents, from whom I am born, in my womb/stomach;
I live though interred, and from that place I resume my life.
I can never grow up, nurtured, to a great height,
since Nature makes my head go beneath my shoots/the soles of my feet.

It is the second half of this poem which parallels the Old Norse leek riddle. In both, the allium is metaphorically an inverted person, the bulb their head, its forked shoots their legs, and both riddles take the opportunity to counterpose human death with vegetal life. The metaphor may in both cases build on the everyday use of words for »head« to denote the bulb of an allium: according to the Dictionary of Old Norse Prose, Heiðrekr’s response to the *laukr* riddle is the only Old Norse evidence for this usage, but it is attested in Old English, suggesting that the usage might have been more widespread in the Germanic languages than our medieval sources reveal, and is also attested, albeit perhaps not extensively, in Latin. According to Heiðreks saga, the *laukr* »hǫfði sínu / vísar á helvega | en fótum til sólar snýr« (»with its head indicates the roads to Hel, but with its feet turns to the sun«). In Burrows’s estimation,
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the riddle sets this vegetable into a cosmic world-view, consonant with the pre-Christian setting of *Heiðreks saga*, as »a bridge between the underworld and the sun«.\(^\text{15}\) With a firmer optimism, consonant with a cultural context more extensively infused with Christian ideas, the garlic bulb of the Bern Riddles says »uiuo nam sepultus, uitam et inde resumo« (»I live though interred, and from that place I resume my life«). The resonances between the Norse leek riddle and the Bern garlic riddle, then, are strong.

It is not impossible that the Bern garlic riddle influenced the Norse *laukr* riddle directly. We have no direct evidence that the Bern Riddles were known in medieval Iceland. But, as Tolkien noted, the riddle on the Sow with the Unborn Litter is best paralleled by Enigma 84 of the early medieval West-Saxon poet Aldhelm, who wrote in Latin and whose work probably drew on the Bern Riddles.\(^\text{16}\) If Aldhelm influenced *Heiðreks saga*, directly or indirectly, it is not unlikely that the Bern Riddles could have too. Moreover, part of *Heiðreks saga*’s riddle about a dead snake on an ice-floe also appears, in a section of the Old Icelandic *Third Grammatical Treatise* translated from Donatus’s *Ars maior*, as a Norse equivalent to a riddle about ice quoted by Donatus.\(^\text{17}\) This does not suggest that the Norse dead snake riddle is a translation of the Latin – quite the opposite – but does indicate that vernacular verse riddles were circulating in a not entirely separate sphere from the world of Latin learning in Iceland.

On the other hand, it is self-evidently plausible that these Norse and Latin allium riddles might owe their similarity to the oral circulation of riddle tropes. This being so, it is worth noting the conceptual similarities in these two riddles with the eighth of ten two-line riddles attributed to the seminal medieval Hebrew poet (and husband to probably the only known female Hebrew-language poet of the Middle Ages), Dunash ben Labraṭ ha-Levi (920×925-af-ter 985), who undertook his most noted literary endeavours in al-Andalus, but who seems to have been born in the Maghreb and also to have studied in Iraq.\(^\text{18}\) The earliest manuscript of the riddle is the Cairo Geniza fragment Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania, Center for Advanced Judaic Studies Library, Cairo Genizah Collection, Halper 317, f. 2v (lines 29-30), from between the tenth and the twelfth century; its riddles were edited by Nehemya Aluny in 1945.\(^\text{19}\)

\(וּמָה הוּא עֵץ עֲנָפָיו בֶאֲדָמָה וְשֶׁרָשָיו בְּרָאָם אֵל בְּרֻמוׂ אֲשֶׁר יִתֵּן פְרִי נָעִים בְּעִתּוׂ וְאִם יֻתַּש בְכָל יוׂם מִמְקוׂמוׂ\)

\(^{15}\) Burrows, Wit and wisdom, 123.

\(^{16}\) *Saga of King Heidrek the Wise*, ed. Tolkien, 90; *Hervarar saga ok Heidreks*, ed. Burrows, 422-423; Klein, Latin Bern Riddles.

\(^{17}\) *Saga of King Heidrek the Wise*, ed. Tolkien, 37 [verse 54]; *Hervarar saga ok Heidreks*, ed. Burrows, 438-439 [verse 72].

\(^{18}\) Delgado, Dunash ben Labraṭ ha-Levi.

Apparently without knowledge of Aluny’s edition, Dan Pagis, working from the earlier edition of Hayim Nahman Bialik and Yehoshua Hana Rawitzki, or one like it, translated the riddle into English as »What tree is it whose branches are in the earth, its roots the Lord created in the heavens / Who bears pleasant fruit in its season, though be it uprooted at any moment?«. A manuscript from St Petersburg, for which Aluny gave no shelf mark or other information and which I have not yet identified (but which presumably lies in the collections of Abraham Firkovic held in the National Library of Russia), gives the riddle’s solution in Judaeo-Arabic as -feature (fi al-‘ilm, on knowledge), and several commentators of the nineteenth and earlier twentieth century accepted this interpretation. Others have ventured »a wise man« or simply »a man«. Bialik and Rawitzki, however, suggested the solution »sun«. Their solution fits the text of Halper 317 better than it does the later manuscripts on which they themselves were relying, since in the final hemistich Halper 317 reads -feature (b-khol yom, each day) where their edition gives -feature (b-khol et, rendered by Pagis above as »at any moment«). The sun, located in the heavens, is the point of origin (and therefore the roots) of the sunbeams which extend, like tree-branches, to the earth; the sun’s heat and light are its fruit, and the sun disappears each day. It is hard to make »wisdom« fit the riddle so neatly. Accordingly, Rodriguez, translating the Halper 317 text, accepted the solution »sun« without comment in his translation of Dunash’s diwan, and Pagis too supported this solution. He agreed with Bialik and Rawitzki that this interpretation is supported by the following description of the sun in an epigrammatic verse-capping contest – a poem that is not therefore a riddle but also not unlike a riddle – portrayed in chapter 32 of the Taḥkemoni by Yehudah ben Shelomo al-Ḥarizi (d. 1225). The poem runs:

Then the Youth sang and said:
See the sun which spreads its wings
Upon the earth to illumine its twilight.

And the Old Man answered and said:
Like a leafy tree that sprouts in the skies
And its branches reach to the earth.

Al-Ḥarizi’s poem certainly attests to the viability of using an inverted tree as a metaphor for the sun’s light in medieval Hebrew verse. Given the paucity of research on Dunash’s riddles, it seems reasonable to accept Rodriguez and Pagis’s apparently independent views to constitute a consensus among modern scholars that Dunash’s eighth riddle is indeed on the sun.

21 Dunash ben Labraṭ ha-Levi, hidot, ed. Aluny, 145-46; Pagis, Toward a theory, 105-106 [n. 36].
23 Al-Ḥarizi, Taḥkemoni, 131; trans. Reichert, II 184.
In the Old Norse riddle, the plant is the solution and the sun is part of the metaphor which positions the plant as an inverted being that links the sun to the earth. In Dunash’s riddle, it is the sun that is the solution, and the inverted plant constitutes the metaphor. Like the other riddles, Dunash’s takes the opportunity of this cosmic image to give a mythological (and specifically theological) angle to the riddle, in this case explicitly positioning the sun and its light as the creation of the Abrahamic God in the heavens.

There is no likely prospect of a direct, written connection between Dunash’s sun riddle and the Old Norse leek riddle; mutual influence from the Bern riddle, which could have been read in both medieval Iceland and Iberia, is conceivable, but Dunash’s riddle has much less in common with the Bern riddle than with the Old Norse one. There were perhaps more opportunities for spoken conversations to arise between medieval Scandinavians and Iberians than literary contacts, and so potentially for the oral exchange of literary ideas.24 But it is equally easy to imagine the similarities between the texts presented here as arising either from a potentially older and certainly more diffuse Eurasian riddle culture, or from independent innovation. Whatever the case, it is clear that in the instance of the leek riddle, the riddles of Heiðreks saga are not as different from Eurasian riddling as commentators have thought, if only because of a clear Latin analogue. Meanwhile, the leek riddle helps to consolidate recent arguments that Dunash’s eighth riddle is about the sun by showing the viability of a similar set of images in a roughly contemporary riddle elsewhere.

24 See, for example, Christys, Vikings in the South.
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